

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/544,664	Applicant(s) HUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Maury Audet	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Maury Audet.

(2) Daniel Monoco.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-8, and 20-22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was called to indicate that SEQ ID NO: 55 and 56 were found to be free of the art and that the application was likely in condition for allowance based on the claim amendments and arguments in Applicant response (August 13, 2003). Applicant asked that SEQ ID NOS: 1-3, and 28-29 be rejoined. It was indicated that SEQ ID NO: 28-29 would not be rejoined, but that the Examiner was open to rejoining SEQ ID NOS: 1-3, as a search had already been done. However, SEQ ID NO: 2 was found to be a duplicate of SEQ ID NO: 1. Applicant stated that a proper sequence listing and disk, amending SEQ ID NO: 2 would be submitted (support for correct sequence on specification page 17). Examiner stated the amended SEQ ID NO: 2 of the sequence listing would be searched and if found to be free of the art, would also be rejoined, with SEQ ID NOS: 1, 3, and 55-56.